

ID.	Date of interview
date	03/02/20
ID.	Time interview started
start	10:09:37
ID.end	Completion date of interview
Date	03/02/20
ID.end	Time interview ended
	10:30:17
ID.	Duration of interview
time	20.67

Start of new case

Q1 Does the draft guidance cover the relevant issues about the right of access?

☒ Yes

☐ No

☐ Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, what other issues would you like to be covered in it?

Q2 Does the draft guidance contain the right level of detail?

☐ Yes

☒ No

☐ Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, in what areas should there be more detail within the draft guidance?

We note that there is no reference to proportionality or disproportionate effort in the guidance. Whilst we understand that there is no reference to disproportionate effort in the GDPR or the DPA, proportionality is still a general principle of EU law and clarity on this concept is therefore required. The case law relating to proportionality and the DPA 1998 are still relevant and feel that the lack of reference to proportionality is a missed opportunity to make the guide even more useful for data protection practitioners.

Q3 Does the draft guidance contain enough examples?

☐ Yes

☒ No

☐ Unsure / don't know

If no or unsure/don't know, please provide any examples that think should be included in the draft guidance.

See above - we feel that examples relating to proportionality could be included in the guidance.

Q4 We have found that data protection professionals often struggle with applying and defining 'manifestly unfounded or excessive' subject access requests. We would like to include a wide range of examples from a variety of sectors to help you. Please provide some examples of manifestly unfounded and excessive requests below (if applicable).

N/A

Q5 On a scale of 1-5 how useful is the draft guidance?

1 - Not at all useful	2 – Slightly useful	3 – Moderately useful	4 – Very useful	5 – Extremely useful
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐

Q6 Why have you given this score?

The guidance is really useful to practitioners handling SARs on a regular basis.

Q7 To what extent do you agree that the draft guidance is clear and easy to understand?

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
☐	☐	☐	☒	☐

Q8 Please provide any further comments or suggestions you may have about the draft guidance.

Q9 Are you answering as:

- ☐ An individual acting in a private capacity (eg someone providing their views as a member of the public)
- ☒ An individual acting in a professional capacity
- ☐ On behalf of an organisation
- ☐ Other

Please specify the name of your organisation:

Forbes solicitors

What sector are you from:

Legal services

Q10 How did you find out about this survey?

- ☐ ICO Twitter account
- ☐ ICO Facebook account
- ☐ ICO LinkedIn account
- ☐ ICO website
- ☐ ICO newsletter
- ☐ ICO staff member
- ☐ Colleague
- ☐ Personal/work Twitter account
- ☐ Personal/work Facebook account
- ☐ Personal/work LinkedIn account
- ☐ Other

If other please specify: